-

Agenda Greene County Sales Tax Oversight Board January 21, 2021 2:00 p.m. Historic Courthouse, Room 212 940 N. Boonville Ave Springfield, MO 65802

- 1. Conrad Griggs Welcome
- Approval of previous minutes: July 2020, January 2020, October 2019, July 2019, January 2019 and May 2018
- 3. Introduction of new Tax Oversight Board Members and Greene County Commissioner District 1
- 4. Next Meeting Date Thursday, July 22, 2021 2:00 p.m. Room 212, Historic Courthouse
- 5. Discussion about those members whose terms will expire after the July meeting and whether they plan to remain on the Board (Dana, Deatz, Jobe)
- Preliminary Summary of the 2020 Spending (not finalized yet) and Summary of the 2021 Budget
- 7. Jail/Sheriff Office Update Resource Management Director, Kevin Barnes
- 8. Questions and Discussion
- 9. Adjournment

Attendees:

- Conrad Griggs
- Bernie Dana
- Bob Davis
- Britton Jobe (phone)
- Debra Shantz-Hart (phone)
- George Deatz (phone)
- Bob Dixon
- Harold Bengsch
- John Russell
- Jeff Scott
- Tina Phillips
- Mike Cagle

- Cindy Stein
- Rob Rigdon
- Justin Hill (phone)
- Chris Coulter (phone)

Conrad Griggs opened the meeting by doing a roll call of attendees. Conrad then introduced Bob Davis as the newest member of the Board. Bob stated that he has lived in Springfield for 60 years, was in CPA practice for 25 years, worked with BKD, and then acquired and owned a manufacturing business for 20 years. He currently has a small business working out of Greene County called Champion Professional Cleaning Services, with 35 employees. Conrad indicated that Bob had recently been approved for appointment to the Board by the County Commission, taking over the slot vacated by Samuel Knox.

Conrad asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the 4/23/20 meeting. Bernie Dana so moved and George Deatz seconded, noting that the spelling of his (George's) last name needed to be corrected. With that, the Board voted to approve the minutes.

Conrad then introduced the presentation of the 2019 Annual Report, which was summarized by Jeff Scott. Jeff thanked Mike Cagle for putting the report together, and indicated that the layout of the report followed that of the previous year's report. Jeff stated that expected revenues were originally \$28.632 million in the original Resolution, but then changed to \$30.267 million in the modified Resolution. Actual revenues were \$28.277 million. The difference is due primarily to the County not transferring as much Federal boarding revenue from General Revenue I (GR I) to General Revenue II (GR II) as was provided in the Resolution. This was due to GR I's balance, and inability to make the necessary transfer.

Jeff indicated that expenditures in the report have been broken down into the 5 broad categories as shown in Exhibit B to the Resolution.

- Environmental: Spending is about \$202,000 less than the Resolution, due to the County's care in spending that money.
- General Revenue Stability: Spending is about \$38,000 over the amount in the Resolution, with the primary difference being that there are Information Systems positions that are being paid at a higher grade level than what had originally been planned.
- Community Projects: Spending is about \$3.5 million under Resolution amounts, and this is due
 to two main factors: there was no spending on mental health in 2019 of the \$2 million planned,
 and \$1.45 million planned for the animal shelter was also not spent. However, Jeff reminded the
 Board that the County had begun spending on mental health in 2020 in its contract with Burrell
 for a walk-in mental health center, and the \$1.45 million in expected animal shelter funding was
 also expected to be spent in 2020.
- The Justice System: The original Resolution called for \$2.040 million in spending, and the modified Resolution called for \$4.097 million. Actual spending was higher still, at \$5.238 million. Factors causing this increase included an expense of about \$500,000 in 2019 for the trunked radio system. This spending had not been planned to occur until 2021. Jail staffing was brought forward in the modified Resolution in order to restructure the warrants division, causing a difference of about \$1 million. Finally, reciprocity costs for holding inmates out-of-county were brought into the modified Resolution at a total of \$2.2 million, with \$1 million of that being beyond the planned Resolution amount. Thus, the main reason why the County went to the voters to ask for the GR II tax [jail over-crowding] continues to be an issue right now.

• Capital Projects: The original Resolution called for spending of \$3.9 million. The amount in the modified Resolution increased to \$7.4 million, and actual spending for 2019 was at \$7.5 million. The difference seen there was about \$200,000 more in cash outlays for the projects that were not bond-funded. In addition, the difference between the original and modified Resolutions was due from changing the bond repayment plan from being interest only in the first two years to a level-principal payment plan so the County could begin paying down principal early to save interest across the 20 years of the Resolution. The amount of the bond was also increased due to the increased size and budget of the jail. This in turn was offset by the number of jail employees to be hired in the future. Thus, the cash balances out in the 20 year plan, but more cash will be spent up front for jail construction.

For cash reserves, Jeff stated that the planned addition to reserve was \$5.2 million, but actual additions for 2019 were \$8.8 million. This, however, was not a cash expenditure, but rather the setting aside of cash in reserves. The additional \$3.6 million in reserve is made up of funds earmarked for upcoming bond payments which is set aside as a restricted cash item. In addition, the County also had GR II pick up cash reserves from GR I, which is no longer able to sustain holding those reserve amounts. Jeff noted that the GR II cash position had not changed as a result of these reserve additions, only that more of it had been set aside as restricted reserves.

Jeff indicated a table near the end of the report showing a summary of spending by category and a cumulative cash comparison, showing that the original Resolution had a GR II cash balance of \$29.7 million, the modified Resolution had \$25.108 million, and actual cash balance at the end of 2019 was \$23.334 million. So, GR II cash is about \$6.4 million less than the original Resolution. Of that amount, about \$4.7 million of that was planned as part of the change to the modified Resolution, with \$2.4 million is due to jail staffing and reciprocity, and \$2.3 million is due to the interest-only period on the bond payments. This leaves \$1.7 million in unplanned difference, due mainly to increased reciprocity, and the additional cash outlays in capital projects.

Jeff indicated the attachment at the end of the Annual Report which lists all changes that have been made to Exhibit B to the Resolution from its original form, and stated that that there have been no additional changes since the listing was last provided to the Board.

Jeff pointed out that after this attachment, there was a detailed listing of informational presentations that had been made to the Board during the previous year, and that space was left at the end of the report for whatever additional comments the Board wished to be made. Jeff also pointed out that there was a signature page at the end of the report, and would like to get signatures from present Board members if possible, but that the report would not be published with those signatures until the Board was satisfied with the report in its entirety.

Conrad asked if there were any questions from the Board, and George indicated he had none. Conrad then said that he had a question on the trunked radio system in regards to why it was paid early. Jeff replied that the system is a joint project between the City of Springfield, Greene County, and City Utilities. The majority of the \$1.9 million cost budgeted overall is for the actual upgrade; however, there would be additional costs to upgrade the radios currently in use to be P-25 compliant, by Road and Bridge, the Sheriff's Office, OEM, and others. The County was able to take advantage of an opportunity to upgrade at a cost of \$300-400 per radio as opposed to the \$1200-\$1600 it might otherwise have cost. The \$500,000 spending this year was for those radios, which wasn't supposed to happen until 2021.

Conrad talked briefly about the trunked radio system. He said that during the large ice storm of 2006, it was a fairly new system and hadn't been activated up to that point. It was the only system during that time that the Springfield Police, the Sheriffs' Office, the Highway Patrol, and City Utilities could use to stay in touch to handle downed lines/trees and other emergencies. Conrad said that it is a fantastic system and gives tremendous communication ability.

Conrad also asked about the warrants unit, specifically how it has done on the warrants load, and whether that was causing a bump in jail occupancy. Jeff indicated that we didn't have those figures because we had not gotten any updates from the jail. However, Jeff said that he could reach out to the Sheriff for an update, then get that information to the Board. John Russell stated that he has received reports that the Fugitive Apprehension Unit has made substantial progress on the backlog of warrants. Conrad asked if the number had been cut in half, and Jeff said he thought that they had started with about 15,000 warrants in their system, and was knocked down at one point to about 5,000.

Conrad then asked Bernie if he had any questions. Bernie said he did not, but wanted to talk about the section where the Board could add additional comments. Bernie said that we were still in the planning of a jail with 1154 beds. He asked for confirmation, to which Jeff commented that the finalized planned bed count was up to 1240 [Rob Rigdon later confirmed the actual bed count is 1242]. John mentioned that Commission had been able to get the number of beds increased while at the same time keeping some prices down by opting for some dormitory-style housing. Bernie said that he would prefer that the report reflect these specifics, in reference to the bed count. Bernie wanted to repeat the same concern as the last report about the Resolution providing for a maximum of 1409 beds, but the count of beds now being built for is less than that. He still has concerns due to the Garnos study and its count projections, and he has not heard anything that says there has been a reduction in inmate population, so there is a concern that when the jail is built, it will be full when it opens. Jeff said that the Garnos study made its projections in a vacuum, based on all things staying the same, while the County is now using a multi-faceted approach to reducing the jail population, and that some things being done in other areas, such as mental health treatment programs, will help to reduce population. Bernie said he read the Garnos study differently, and that such programs take time to unfold into reality, and that the study recommended that the projected numbers be followed in the planning process, at least up to the 10year mark, to which Jeff and Bernie both agreed.

Bob Dixon said that he had received an encouraging report from Burrell that morning in regards to the quarterly updates from the mental health drop-in center. John said that some of the partnerships we have with organizations like the Public Defender's office have bene sidelined due to COVID-19. John described the pilot program we did with them, in which the County contributed \$25,000 and the State \$75,000, with the results that 30-35 inmates had received counsel and had moved through the system and out of the jail within a month's time.

Bernie said he applauded the multi-faceted approach and appreciated how those plans were embraced during the planning of the tax and the resolution; yet, he felt it still worthy of caution. Jeff said that the comments could be updated with the inmate numbers as given by Garnos, in the resolution, and in the finalized jail design. Conrad said it would be good to have those comments continued into future reports as well. Conrad said he sat on the planning committee for the tax, and that the jail is the main concern of the public. He thinks that in the planning of the tax, it was understood that building a larger jail wasn't the only solution to the problem. Conrad also asked about the calculation of reciprocity being based on

\$45 per day per person, and wanted to confirm that the State only pays \$19 per day for an inmate we house. Jeff also added that this cost didn't include costs of travel, or the inconvenience of not having an inmate in the facility if a judge wanted to see them in court, or the liability of transferring inmates to other counties.

Bob Davis asked to confirm his understanding that we do not get reimbursed by the State for any inmate housing unless that inmate is convicted, and Bob Dixon added that another condition of reimbursement is the at the inmate spends at least one day in the Department of Corrections. Bob Davis then asked, in theory, if we pay \$45 per day for Marshfield to house an inmate and the State pays us \$19 to house one here, and then the one in Marshfield isn't convicted, the County is out that \$45. Several members concurred that the system appears broken, and Bob Dixon added that the system is a result of political compromise dating back to the Depression.

Britton and Debra said that they had no questions. George asked how far the State was behind in reimbursing the County. There was an estimate given of about \$3 million, but Jeff said that the Budget Office would get that exact amount and pass that information along to the Board. Jeff said that it typically runs 9 months behind, although we have been as far as 12 months behind. There were a couple of years in a row in which the State only paid the County for 9 months of reimbursements, and so the State has gotten further and further behind. Bob Dixon said that some of the issue is due to withholdings that date from way back that the County never got, and which have never been made up.

[It was later determined that at the, the State was 9 months behind on reimbursements totaling \$2,628,733. The most recent payment on 7/10/20 paid for the months of July-August 2019, with the next payment expected to be received in October. On 10/06/20, payments from the Missouri Department of Corrections were received for billings through January of 2020.]

After asking for and receiving no other questions, Conrad moved on to the resolution originally distributed by Bernie via e-mail in April calling for the deactivation of the Board, and turned the discussion over to Bernie. Bernie stated that he didn't have anything to add to the original e-mail. His primary interest as a businessman was that the direction of GR II had been established and he expressed his confidence in the Auditor's processes. He said that there were not a lot of new decisions to be made, and feels that the Board has played its oversight role in getting those decisions made. Bernie said because there is already a path that has been determined and that there is considerable time and effort put into organizing the meetings, he said that maybe this is a reasonable to time to conclude that the need for the Board may have been served. He stated that he introduced the resolution to put these ideas on the table for discussion.

Conrad asked for a motion for the resolution. Bernie so moved, and was seconded by Debra. Conrad called for discussion of the motion, and Britton responded that his only hesitation was that he wanted to stay as close to what was promised to the voters as possible, and asked whether there was anything that could be done to insure the same level of transparency going forward, such as the data and numbers that the County puts together for each meeting. Britton said that he wants some sort of assurance that this information would still be made available every quarter or period of time to the public, that even if the Committee feels confident in the transparency of the County, that there should still be ongoing efforts to educate the public and remain transparent.

Conrad agreed with the point and asked Debra for her thoughts. She echoed what Britton said, and understood the concern for transparency. She said that there needed to be safeguards so that information could be made available to the public so that the County would not be criticized for not providing it. Debra said that she, too, agreed with what was in the resolution.

Conrad asked George for his thoughts, and George responded that he agreed with the principles of the resolution, and that everyone needed to follow exactly what was said would be done with it as it was set up. George also said that there should be no question that as time goes by and as members change, and as goals and roles changes, nobody gets off track from what was promised to the voters when the tax was passed.

Conrad asked Bob Davis for his thoughts, and he chose to abstain from discussion due to only being recently appointed.

Conrad then asked for the Commission's view. Bob Dixon said that the work the Board has done had been very helpful and that they always invite input and oversight, and the Board has provided a special degree of that. He would like to continue to have the oversight and advice, and said that if there was a desire to alter the frequency of meetings, that this would be a decision for the Board. Bob said again that he was grateful for the assistance, and said that it would be very helpful if it continued.

John stated his agreement with Bob and his appreciation of the advice and input from the Board, and understood the sentiment behind the resolution. John said that a lot of the decisions had been made, but that there were projects which were still ongoing and would be for several years, so there could be issues that could cause other changes or decisions to be made. John said that he would prefer a less-frequent meeting schedule over disbanding the Board.

Conrad asked Harold Bengsch for his thoughts, and Harold said that he agreed with the other Commissioners. He said that this is a world in which the community says they want transparency, and that's what the Board wants to give to them, an assurance of transparency that things were being done as had been promised, or if they couldn't be, that there would be a justifiable reason brought forward and a solution. Harold said that in that regard, he considered the Board as the capstone of that transparency.

Conrad said that he felt that it was a good resolution and understood Bernie's reasoning behind it. He is also aware of the amount of time that goes into preparation. Conrad also recommended that the minutes of each meeting be put onto the County's website so that the public would be clear as to what was going on and being discussed in their meeting. Conrad said that he also values Bernie's input, and specifically referred to Bernie's early recommendation when the Board began convening that the Board focus on the 19 points [of Exhibit A to the Resolution]. Conrad felt that it was very critical, because those 19 points came out of the campaign for the tax, and that one of the things that was promised to the public was the Tax Oversight Board. Conrad said that he thinks the Board still owes the people what was promised.

Conrad asked for any other thoughts before he called for a vote. Jeff said that, speaking as a member of staff, since the Resolution was made we have finalized the contract on jail construction, although actual construction is still ongoing, and that next year we would be issuing the final bond for the funding of the project. He said that we also have a Juvenile Courts facility that needs to be to be built, and decisions

have to be made about what to do about Juvenile Detention. After that, then the major capital projects will have been satisfied, and we will be down to just the normal and ongoing operations which will be a more repetitive—type expense.

Debra stated that while this was her last meeting, based on the Commissioners' and Jeff's comments, that it might make some sense to modify [Bernie's] resolution to reconsider it at some point in the future when the major milestones have been accomplished, and that as a compromise in the meantime have fewer meetings. Once those milestones are reached, that could be a triggering event to reconsider the resolution.

George stated that he agreed with what Debra said, and stated that rather than putting it down in concrete that the Board is voting to rescind it, suggested changing it to meeting once every 6 months.

Conrad said that the Board decides its own meeting schedule, and said that he wanted to deal with the resolution first, and then separately discuss the meeting schedule. Bernie said that he didn't have any problem withdrawing the resolution and bringing it back out at a later date, and so withdrew it.

Conrad then said that he wanted to discuss changing the meeting schedule from being quarterly to once every 6 months, due to the reason of the time having to be taken by staff in preparation. Bernie said he was in favor of that, and said that an issue he had was that of not receiving communication when major decisions were being made, and pointed out the Burrell contract as an example, in which details of the decision were not known until they were in the papers. Bernie asked that along with going to meetings every 6 months that there be a process in which communication is made to the Board that Commission is looking at certain decisions that directly relate to GR II funds. Bernie said that it is hard to be on an Oversight Board when you're only getting hindsight.

Conrad said that there have been talks about this and an agreement made for more transparency, and pointed out the beginning of jail construction as an example. Conrad understood that there couldn't be a ribbon-cutting due to COVID-19, but felt like the Board should have been notified of this.

Conrad asked for Board feedback, and all members of the Board were in agreement to change the schedule to every 6 months, starting in January of 2021.

Bob Dixon stated that if there was any misunderstanding about the Burrell issue, he apologized. His understanding was that the decision fell in line with what was worked on or had been started by the previous Commission with the Resolution. Bob said that at the very least, the Board should have been invited to the event. Bob said that we haven't had an event at the jail because the Commission felt like with everything going on, it was best to hold off. Prior to COVID-19, a dedication had been planned at the Family Justice Center, which was also postponed. Bob extended an invitation to the Tax Oversight board for when it is scheduled. Conrad said that when you start digging dirt, people get excited, and he didn't want to sound petty about it, but it would be nice to be able to tell people that you're digging dirt. Bob said that there would be dedications at both facilities [the jail and the Family Justice Center], and said it would be nice to have the Board there to highlight their work. Conrad said that in the future, even just an e-mail letting the Board know about whether things were or weren't going to happen due to circumstances, or that something else would be done instead, would be great.

Bob Davis then raised a question about Burrell, stating that he had read that the walk-in center was going to be placed in an existing facility, and asked where the facility was. Harold said that it was off of Mount Vernon in Springfield [address: 800 S. Park Ave]. Harold mentioned that there has been a recent presentation by Burrell to Commission, and suggested that it might be a good idea to have a meeting with the Board with Burrell, to also have the judge over the specialty courts discuss how this ties in to the mental health program, and then have the Family Justice Center report as well for them to explain how all three units tie together as alternatives to incarceration. Conrad thought that would be a great idea in the January meeting because there would be a lot more activity by that time to see how it's working. Bob Dixon suggested that he could ask Burrell to arrange a tour of the walk-in center for the Board before it opens. Bernie agreed that having these events is helpful to give insight as to how these commitments tie to the 19 points of the Resolution.

Conrad asked about any new business, and there were no responses. Conrad then mentioned that there were two Board openings [replacing John Twitty and Debra Shantz-Hart], and said that anyone else who was willing to chair the Board was welcome to step up and do so. There were no offers.

The date for the next Board meeting was confirmed as January 21, 2021. Conrad and the rest of the Board members and attendees thanked Debra for her service on the Board.

With that, Conrad made a motion to adjourn the meeting, and the meeting was so adjourned.

Bob Dixon Presiding Commissioner

Harold Bengsch 1st District Commissioner

John C. Russell 2nd District Commissioner



Greene County, Missouri (417) 868-4112 Shane Schoeller Clerk of the Commission

Christopher J. Coulter, AICP County Administrator

> Megan Applegate Executive Assistant

Greene County
Sales Tax Oversight Board Minutes

Thursday, January 23, 2020 2:00 PM Historic Courthouse Room 212 940 N. Boonville Ave.

Attendance Jeff Scott, Mike Cagle, Justin Hill, Cindy Stein, Jim Arnott, Kevin Barnes, Rob Rigdon, Donna Barton, John Twitty, Tina Phillips, George Deatz, Conrad Griggs, Debra Shantz, Chris Coulter, Bob Dixon, and Harold Bengch.

Informational Items

Conrad Griggs

- Next meeting date and location
- Vacancy on the board
 - o Follow-up in April on vacancies

Jeff Scott

Summary of the 2019 Budget

Kevin Barnes

- Update on Jail/Sheriff Office Update
 - Estimated prices
 - o Ongoing bids for this project
 - Update on plans

Jeff Scott

- Temporary Jail updates
 - o Efficacy on keeping inmates locally

Questions and Discussion

No motions were made at this meeting

Adjournment

With no other business this meeting was adjourned

Bob Dixon Presiding Commissioner

Harold Bengsch 1st District Commissioner

John C. Russell 2nd District Commissioner



Greene County, Missouri (417) 868-4112 Shane Schoeller Clerk of the Commission

Christopher J. Coulter, AICP County Administrator

> Megan Applegate Executive Assistant

Greene County
Sales Tax Oversight Board Minutes

Thursday, October 24, 2019 3:00 PM Operation Center Conference Room 1210 N. Boonville Ave.

Attendance Jeff Scott, Mike Cagle, Justin Hill, Cindy Stein, Jamie Willis, Natalie Gomez, Kevin Barnes, Rob Rigdon, Donna Barton, John Twitty, Tina Phillips, Britton Bernie, Jim Arnott, John Russell, George Deatz, Conrad Griggs, Debra Shantz, Chris Coulter, Bob Dixon, and Harold Bengch.

Informational Items

Conrad Griggs

Next meeting date and location

Jamie Willis

- Update on Family Justice Center
 - o Brief History
 - o Agencies who work with the Family Justice Center
 - Satisfaction rates from the Family Justice Center

Natalie Gomez

- Update on Inmate Screening Tool with Pre-Trial Services
 - Number of officers and their duties
 - Risk Assessment rates
 - Ongoing training for employees

Chris Coulter

- Update on State Public Defender MOU
 - How the MOU improves Criminal Justice infrastructure
 - Benefits for the Jail

Kevin Barnes

- Update on Jail/Sheriff Office Update
 - o Building designs
 - Interior designs

Rob Rigdon

Project updates

Questions and Discussion

No motions were made at this meeting

Adjournmen[®]

With no other business this meeting was adjourned



Bob Dixon

Presiding Commissioner

Harold Bengsch 1st District Commissioner

John C. Russell

2nd District Commissioner



Greene County, Missouri (417) 868-4112 Shane Schoeller Clerk of the Commission

Christopher J. Coulter, AICP County Administrator

> Megan Applegate Executive Assistant

Greene County
Sales Tax Oversight Board Minutes

Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:00 PM Public Safety Center Media Room 330 W. Scott St.

Attendance Jeff Scott, Mike Cagle, Justin Hill, Cindy Stein, Rob Rigdon, Donna Barton, John Twitty, John Rusell, Katie Cole, Marty Mahy, Tina Phillips, Conrad Griggs, Debra Shantz, David Johnson, Jennifer Dodson, Royce Denny, Chris Coulter, Bob Dixon, and Harold Bengch.

Informational Items

Conrad Griggs

Welcomes new member George Deatz

Jeff Scott

• Update on draft copy of the annual 2018 report

Rob Rigdon

- Project follow-up
 - o Test school for the location of Family Justice Center

Donna Barton

- Update on Media coverage
 - Stats and Facts presentation- 12 slides long with the intent to be actively involved in speaking opportunities in community
 - Resolutions are still in progress

Questions and Discussion

No motions were made at this meeting

Adjournment

With no other business this meeting was adjourned

Greene County Citizens Tax Oversight Board
Public Safety Center Media Room
330 W. Scott Street
January 17, 2019, 2 p.m.

Present: Jeff Scott, Tina Phillips, Mike Cagle, Donna Barton, Kate Morris, Chris Coulter, Cindy Stein, Harold Bengsch, Bob Dixon, Britton Jobe, Conrad Griggs, Robert Spence, Bernie Dana, and Samuel Knox.

Dr. Robert Spence called the meeting to order. He announced that the meeting would now be recorded and welcomed Commissioners Bengsch and Dixon, who were stopping by to thank the board members for their service. (The Commissioners left several minutes later to allow to the board to conduct business.) Dr. Spence noted that this was the annual meeting of the board and thusly the appropriate time for the board to select a chair for 2019. He explained that when he accepted membership on the board he agreed to serve for the first year. Since that first year is up, Dr. Spence withdrew himself as a candidate to be reappointed as chair. The board was instructed to take a ballot and write their choice for chair. Tina Phillips collected the ballots and it was determined that Conrad Griggs was selected as chair for 2019. While the ballots were being counted, Dr. Spence explained that the board was established to serve as an oversight group and asked county staff that policy be written to clearly describe term length.

Budget Officer Mike Cagle presented a summary of activity in 2018 and the anticipated budget for 2019 (documents attached herein). Conrad Griggs requested that county staff provide the details of investment transactions in December 2018. Dr. Spence asked that the annual budget report include a short explanation that the Justice Center transition team travel expense disbursements complied with county policy. The board noted that these documents showed several deviations from the plans expressed in the initial sales tax resolution Exhibit A. Conrad Griggs and Dr. Spence inquired as to whether these changes had been approved by the Commission. Jeff Scott and Cindy Stein explained that the Commission and a financial team comprised of staff and office holders has been involved in all of the decisions relevant to the changes. Cindy Stein said that the consensus of that group was that these changes follow the intention of the resolution. Bernie Dana expressed concern that the Commission might be making decisions that are different than what was promised to citizens. Jeff Scott explained that, while there are changes to Exhibit B of the resolution, those changes still adhere to the intention expressed in Exhibit A of the resolution. Bernie Dana asked staff to provide a list of the changes that have been made, including details on what the changes are and how those changes impact the budget. He said he would like for this list to be provided at the beginning at the beginning of each board meeting. Jeff Scott agreed and said he would provide an update list of changes made thus far before the next meeting in April. Conrad Griggs requested that the Commission be notified of the board's concerns about the changes. He and Dr. Spence also suggested that the Commission consistently communicate this information to the public. Jeff Scott said he would like to present the explanation of the changes to the board prior to asking the Commission to revisit any changes.

Jeff Scott also informed the board that the cost of the jail is still in flux and that staff is considering a green site off of the county campus. He said building the jail on the green site could result in an estimated savings of \$100 million over 20 years. The savings would mostly be found in staff and construction, he said. In order to look further into this option, there would need to be some expenditure for pre-schematic design, he said. There was a consensus among the board in of support of this option.

Jeff Scott told the board that he wanted the year-end report to be a report of the board. He said staff was willing to write it, but he would want the board to review and edit it. Dr. Spence suggested that the board take formal action on this at the April meeting, and that the report needs to go from the board to the Commission, and then from the Commission to the public. He asked that it be made brief enough that it is readable. Conrad Griggs suggested staff include a timeline of projects. Dr. Spence suggested that it include a paragraph describing the purpose of the board. Jeff Scott inquired as to the board's preference for the timing of the year-end report, since the board's annual meeting is in April but the county's budget year begins in January. It was agreed that staff choose whichever timing works best for them.

Since John Twitty would not be available for meetings on the third Thursday of the month, it was decided to change the date of the April meeting. Kate Morris was asked to send a poll to the board with alternate dates from which to choose. The meeting was then adjourned.

Greene County Tax Oversight Committee Election Center 1126 N. Boonville Ave. May 17, 2018 2:00 p.m.

Present: Dr. Robert Spence, Bernie Dana, Britton Jobe, Conrad Griggs, Debbie Hart, Robert Spence, Samuel Knox. Absent: John Twitty

Dr. Spence made opening remarks.

County Administrator Chris Coulter introduced Greene County employees to the board.

Dr. Spence presented the Policies and Procedures of the Greene County Sales Tax Oversight Board. Spence highlighted the duties and expectations of the oversight committee.

Meeting for the board will be quarterly and occur on the 3rd Thursday on the first month of the quarter unless more are needed and Greene County staff will send out monthly reports to the committee. Term limits will need to be decided upon by the commission as well as reappointments to the board.

Greene County Auditor Cindy Stein presented a brief presentation on internal controls.(Exhibit I) Stein highlighted Greene County criteria/purchasing policies. Stein showed samples of invoices and explained the approval process to the board. Stein opened up suggestions from the board to improve the current process. The board requested access to information to be able to answer citizen's questions on how money is being spent. Also requested is links to the website or dedicated portal that would show information on how the new tax revenue is being spent on the projects promised in the tax proposal.

Budget Officer Jeff Scott discussed the current year projected activity, and included a handout. (Exhibit 2). The handout explained numbers contained in the Resolution, the budget numbers for 2018, and actual revenue for the 1st quarter and what is projected for 2018. Scott highlighted the report and gave specifics on General Revenue II, Environmental Mandates, GR Stability, Justice System, Capital Project, and Community Projects which included Family Justice Center which is slated to open 07/01, Animal Control Services which will start later than anticipated 07/01. Scott spoke about the financing schedule of events and explained what actions should be expected in the months ahead. Due to time constraints Scott was unable to highlight the Proposed Financing Plan (Exhibit 3).

A July meeting will not be held as there will not be a lot of information to report. The next meeting will take place in October.

No media was present at the meeting.

With no other business the meeting was adjourned by Dr. Robert Spence.

** This is a preliminary report. Spending levels reported here are as of 1/4/2021. Figures are subject to change based on additional invoices, transfers, and year-end entries posted to Fiscal Year 2020.)

The Greene County Commission commits to provide funding, in whole or in part, for the following projects upon passage of the one half (1/2) of one (1) percent county-wide general sales tax (GR II), submitted to the voters of Greene County on November 7, 2017.

- 1. Expansion and/or renovation of the Greene County Justice Center to add 806 beds, bringing capacity to not exceed a total of 1,407 beds. The design of the facility will be to allow future additions, if needed.
- 2. Up to 334 new correction officers and staff and operations for the Justice Center.
- 3. Fund Federal and State mandates for storm water management.
- 4. Community mental health programs to assist with the justice system.
- 5. Staff and operations for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office.
- 6. Establish best practice treatment programs such as Drug treatment Court, DWI Court, Veterans Court, etc.
- 7. Community trunked radio system for emergency communications.
- 8. Juvenile courts building and detention facility.
- 9. Community animal control facility.
- 10. Community animal control officer and equipment.
- 11. Community partnership law enforcement specialty units.
- 12. Outlying municipal projects.
- 13. Support staff (budget, purchasing, human resources, information systems, public information office).
- 14. Courts renovation.
- 15. Building maintenance and staffing.
- 16. Equipment replacement (servers, desktop computers, etc.).
- 17. Demolition and parking lot construction.
- 18. Utilities.
- 19. Restricted reserve to ensure positive bond rating.

	Exh	Per Modified	2020	2020
Description	Α	Resolution	Budget	Exp @ 1/4/21
Jail Construction (Cash Outlay Only)	1	3,650,000	648,398.96	631,861.45
Jail - Additional Staff & Operating	2	3,643,394	6,528,671.19	6,822,247.57
Storm Water Management	3	1,560,600	1,596,981.37	1,260,208.22
Community Mental Health Programs	4	2,016,504	2,016,504.00	1,195,095.02
PA's Office Staff & Operating	5	1,288,889	1,063,781.19	1,036,515.52
Best Practice Treatment Programs	6	468,116	573,043.96	732,066.81
Trunked Radio System	7	0	1,025,740.58	851,011.03
Juvenile Facility	8	0	0.00	0.00
Animal Control Facility	9	30,000	1,450,000.00	0.00
Animal Control Officer	10	208,080	209,485.00	209,485.00
Partnership Law Enf. Units	11	936,360	1,017,906.74	907,886.85
Municipal Projects	12	200,000	200,000.00	199,995.00
Support Staff	13	407,772	453,210.97	417,501.71
Courts Renovation	14	0	0.00	0.00
Building Maintenance & Staffing	15	468,180	363,312.99	487,463.50
Equipment Replacement	16	572,220	632,010.00	509,503.20
Demolition & Parking Lot Constr.	17	0	0.00	0.00
Utilities	18	0	20,015.00	31,020.56
		15,450,115	17,799,061.95	15,291,861.44

Exh A		Exh	2020	2020
Detail	Description	A	Budget	Exp @ 1/4/21
1.01	Jail Constr - Adv Team S&B	1	341,908.96	363,004.57
1.02	Jail Constr - Adv Team Operating/Vehicles	1	306,490.00	268,856.88
2.01	Jail - S&B	2	2,881,818.20	2,640,714.82
2.011	Jail - IS-dedicated S&B	2	0.00	27,057.90
2.02	Jail - Addl Personnel Costs	2	9,900.00	35,462.00
2.03	Jail - Operating Costs	2	742,180.49	397,078.34
2.1	Reciprocity	2	1,100,000.00	1,381,158.51
2.2	Temp Jail II Rent	2	1,794,772.50	2,340,776.00
3.01	Environmental S&B	3	522,446.37	546,527.44
3.02	Environnmental Operating Costs	3	321,035.00	130,320.23
3.03	Environmental Community Awareness	3	753,500.00	583,360.55
4.1	Mental Health - S&B	4	161,882.12	47,662.51
4.2	Mental Health - Other	4	1,854,621.88	1,147,432.51
5.1	PA - S&B	5	1,003,248.49	989,591.45
5.2	PA - Operating	5	60,532.70	46,924.07
6.11	Treatment Court - Courts - S&B	6	0.00	38,287.20
6.12	Treatment Court - Courts - Operating	6	133,400.00	199,950.08
6.21	Treatment Court - PA - S&B	6	100,487.99	99,527.45
6.22	Treatment Court - PA - Operating	6	3,304.63	5,982.72
6.31	Treatment Court - Pretrial - S&B	6	104,666.34	107,951.24
6.32	Treatment Court - Pretrial - Operating	6	6,185.00	2,498.12
6.33	Treatment Court - Contract Services	6	225,000.00	277,870.00
7	Trunked Radio System	7	1,025,740.58	851,011.03
9.1	Animal Shelter	9	1,450,000.00	0.00
10	Animal Control S&B + Maintenance	10	209,485.00	209,485.00
11.101	FJC - PA - S&B	11	101,317.16	102,077.77
11.102	FJC - PA - Operating	11	293,373.86	161,790.67
11.103	FJC - Bldg Ops Maint/Janitorial	11	0.00	0.00
11.111	FJC - Shf - S&B	11	70,841.24	71,043.03
11.112	FJC - Shf - Operating	11	6,544.29	5,311.20
11.21	FAU - Shf - S&B	11	510,348.48	533,979.27
11.22	FAU - Shf - Operating	11	35,481.71	33,684.91
12	Municipal Projects	12	200,000.00	199,995.00
13.001	GR Stability - Other	13	3,500.00	12,718.58
13.1	GR Stability - Budget	13	81,179.23	80,697.22
13.2	GR Stability - HR	13	87,276.98	60,248.84
13.3	GR Stability - Purchasing	13	65,778.87	53,351.40
13.4	GR Stability - IS	13	202,025.89	207,129.43
13.5	GR Stability - PIO	13	13,450.00	3,356.24
15.01	B Ops - S&B	15	38,400.99	32,749.94
15.02	B Ops for Jail - S&B	15	0.00	0.00
15.03	B Ops/Gen Svcs - Operating (Vehicles)	15	3,900.00	1,384.12
15.04	B Ops - Maint/Janitorial - Operations Ctr	15	0.00	0.00
15.1	Bldg Maint - HCH	15	57,500.00	24,048.54
15.2	Bldg Maint - Jail	15	30,400.00	175,743.50 0.00
15.3	Bldg Maint - Archives	15 15	0.00	0.00
15.4	Bldg Maint - Juvenile	15	233,112.00	253,537.40
15.5 15.6	Bldg Maint - Judicial Bldg Maint - PSC	15	0.00	0.00
15.7	Bldg Maint - Morgue	15	0.00	0.00
16.1	Eqpt Replacement - County Clerk	16	57,500.00	57,500.00
16.2	Eqpt Replacement - Med Examiner	16	18,250.00	14,757.47
16.3	Eqpt Replacement - IS	16	477,000.00	403,487.34
16.4	Eqpt Replacement - OEM	16	3,000.00	2,595.00
In 1.02	Eqpt Replacement - Shf	10	0.00	0.00
16.6	Eqpt Replacement - Building Operations	16	76,260.00	31,163.39
16.9	Eqpt Repl - Contract Vehicle Operation	16	0.00	0.00
18	Utilities Utilities	18	20,015.00	31,020.56
10	Othitics	10	20,013.00	31,020.30

1. Expansion and/or renovation of the Greene County Justice Center to add 806 beds, bringing capacity to not exceed a total of 1,407 beds. The design of the facility will be to allow future additions, if needed.

Salaries and benefits for the four-member Jail Advance Team were \$363,004.57. Additional operating costs were \$2,653.31, and an additional \$266,203.57 was spent out of jail construction cash outlay funding for Sheriff's Office vehicles. Construction on the new Sheriff's Office/Jail is expected to continue through 2021 and into the second guarter of 2022.

2. Up to 334 new correction officers and staff and operations for the Justice Center.

Salaries and benefits for jail personnel were \$2,640,714.82, and personnel-related expenses were \$35,642.00. In June, Commission authorized the creation of a Senior Network Engineer position devoted full-time to jail functions, costing \$27,057.90 in salaries and benefits in 2020. Budgeted jail staffing in GR II consisted of twelve Detention Officers for the Warrants unit as restructured in 2018; eleven Detention Officers and one Corporal for court inmate transfer security added in 2019; and, 48 Detention Officers, 4 Corporals, and 2 Licensed Practical Nurses added to the budget in 2020 to staff the expanded temporary jail.

Jail operating costs funded by GR II were \$397,078.34; reciprocity expense in 2020 was \$1,381,158.51; and, temporary jail lease payments totaled \$2,340,776.00. The total of all operating expenses together with staffing costs brought the total cost of staff and operations to \$6,822,247.57.

3. Fund initiatives for environmental and storm water management.

Environmental salaries and benefits were \$546,527.44; operating costs for the department were \$130,320.23; and, community awareness initiative costs were \$583,360.55. Altogether, total spending for environmental management was \$1,260,208.22.

4. Community mental health programs to assist with the justice system.

Mental health spending for salaries and benefits of newly-approved staff in the 2021 budget totaled \$47,662.51. Additional wraparound costs, including the \$1 million contract for the drop-in center with Burrell Behavioral Health brought other spending to \$1,147,432.51.

5. Staff and operations for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office.

Salaries and benefits for the PA's Office in 2020 were \$989,591.45, and related departmental operating costs were \$46,924.07. (This doesn't include costs for the Senior APA assigned exclusively to the Drug Court.)

6. Establish best practice treatment programs such as Drug Treatment Court, DWI Court, Veterans' Court, etc.

Salaries, benefits, and operational expenses were \$732,066.81, incurred by the following departments:

- 31st Judicial Circuit Court \$238,237.28 (including \$196,684.01 in wraparound services).
- Prosecuting Attorney's Office \$105,510.17 (Drug Court Senior APA and related expenses).
- Pretrial \$388,319.36 (including \$277,870.00 in electronic monitoring services).

7. Community trunked radio system for emergency communications.

2020 expenses for trunked radio system operations and maintenance were \$851,011.03.

8. Juvenile courts building and detention facility.

9. Community animal control facility.

There was no spending in these categories for 2020.

10. Community animal control officer and equipment.

Salaries, benefits, and equipment costs for the GR II-funded animal control officer totaled \$209,485.00.

11. Community partnership law enforcement specialty units.

There are currently two active partnership law enforcement units – the Family Justice Center (FJC) and the Fugitive Apprehension Unit (FAU). Total staff and operational costs for the FJC were \$340,222.67. Costs for the FAU totaled \$567,664.18.

Exhibit A of the GR II Resolution (October 2, 2017) - 2020 Spending**

Per the FAU agreement, FAU cost is to be covered by a transfer of Federal boarding revenue from GR I to the extent of the Resolution allotment for a single partnership law enforcement unit, with any excess expense beyond this amount to be covered by a transfer from a discretionary fund controlled by the Sheriff. The maximum amount of reimbursement for the FAU from GR I's Federal boarding revenue in 2020 is \$520,200.

12. Outlying municipal projects.

Spending on municipal projects in 2020 was \$199,995.00. The larger awards of \$64,285.00 went to the municipalities of Strafford and Willard, with the other municipalities receiving \$14,285.00.

13. Support staff (Budget Office, Purchasing, Human Resources, Information Systems, and Public Information).

Total GR II support costs in 2020 were \$417,501.71 and incurred as follows:

- Budget Office: \$80,697.22.
- Human Resources: \$60,248.84.
- Purchasing \$53,351.40.
- Information Systems: \$207,129.43.

- Public Information: \$3,356.24.
- Other GR Support Costs (unemployment insurance, building liability): \$12,718.58.

14. Courts renovation.

The 2018 bond funded construction of a new courtroom, with construction costs of \$741,048.00. Costs for furniture, computers, and other technology was paid for by the 31st Judicial Circuit.

15. Building maintenance and staffing.

Spending for staff and operating costs for Building Maintenance in 2020 was \$34,134.06. Special maintenance project spending was \$453,329.44, for the following facilities:

- Historic Courthouse: \$24,048.54.
- Jail: \$175,743.50.
- Judicial Courts Facility: \$253,537.40.

16. Equipment replacement.

Total spending on equipment replacements was \$509,503.20 in the following departments:

- County Clerk: \$57,500.00.
- Medical Examiner: \$14,757.47.
- Information Systems: \$403,487.34.
- Office of Emergency Management: \$2,595.00.
- Building Operations: \$31,163.39.

17. Demolition and parking lot construction.

The 2018 bond funded the demolition of the previous Building Operations building, at a cost of \$90,483.78.

18. Utilities.

Utilities costs for the Operations Center and the building on the Wright Auto property (used for temporary evidence storage, Jail advance team offices, and Sheriff's Office vehicle outfitting) were \$31,020.56.

19. Restricted reserve to ensure positive bond rating.

Per the Resolution, the expected increase to cash reserves via end-of-year entries will be \$267,208 for the operating cash reserve, and \$313,000 for the 27th pay period reserve.

The Greene County Commission commits to provide funding, in whole or in part, for the following projects upon passage of the one half (1/2) of one (1) percent county-wide general sales tax (GR II), submitted to the voters of Greene County on November 7, 2017.

- 1. Expansion and/or renovation of the Greene County Justice Center to add 806 beds, bringing capacity to not exceed a total of 1,407 beds. The design of the facility will be to allow future additions, if needed.
- 2. Up to 334 new correction officers and staff and operations for the Justice Center.
- 3. Fund Federal and State mandates for storm water management.
- 4. Community mental health programs to assist with the justice system.
- 5. Staff and operations for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office.
- 6. Establish best practice treatment programs such as Drug treatment Court, DWI Court, Veterans Court, etc.
- 7. Community trunked radio system for emergency communications.
- 8. Juvenile courts building and detention facility.
- 9. Community animal control facility.
- 10. Community animal control officer and equipment.
- 11. Community partnership law enforcement specialty units.
- 12. Outlying municipal projects.
- 13. Support staff (budget, purchasing, human resources, information systems, public information office).
- 14. Courts renovation.
- 15. Building maintenance and staffing.
- 16. Equipment replacement (servers, desktop computers, etc.).
- 17. Demolition and parking lot construction.
- 18. Utilities.
- 19. Restricted reserve to ensure positive bond rating.

Exh		Per Modified	2021	
A	Description	Resolution	Budget	
1	Jail Cash Outlay - Advance Team	0	356,679	
1	Jail Cash Outlay - Project Manager	0	112,202	Via direct transfer
1	Jail Cash Outlay - Campus Tech Upgrade	0	191,128	Via direct transfer
2	Additional Jail Staff	9,047,362	7,367,503	
2	Additional Jail Operating	308,000	1,067,918	
2	Additional Jail Reciprocity	1,200,000	0	
2	Additional Jail Temp Jail II	0	3,153,053	
3	Environmental	1,591,812	1,537,582	
4	Mental Health	2,016,504	1,828,915	
5	PA Staff & Operating	1,279,433	1,130,590	
5	PA Operating	54,664	71,360	
6	Treatment Court	483,921	611,516	
7	Trunked Radio System	375,000	0	
8	Juvenile Courts/Detention	0	0	
9	Animal Shelter	30,600	1,450,000	
10	Animal Control	212,242	213,675	
11	Partnership Law Enf. Units	955,087	1,039,452	GR I reimb. for FAU: 530,604
12	Municipal Projects	200,000	200,000	
13	GR Stability	424,083	478,054	
14	Courts Renovation	0	0	
15	Bldg Ops Staffing	71,331	140,627	
15	Bldg Maintenance Projects	477,544	321,508	
16	Equipment Replacement	583,664	1,841,446	
17	Campus Demo &Parking	0	0	
18	Utilities	70,000	36,500	
		19,381,247	23,149,707	
19	Restricted Reserve	575,213	575,213	Via direct transfer
	2018 Bond: Jail, Ops Ctr, Court	5,699,150	5,593.883	Via direct transfer
	2021 Bond: Jail	7,997,117		Via direct transfer
		33,652,727	33,374,138	

2021

	2021	
Exh A (Detail)	Budget	Description
1.01	356,678.65	Jail Construction - Advance Team
2.01	6,928,257.94	Jail - S&B
2.011	189,806.81	Jail - IS -dedicated S&B
2.02	249,438.00	Jail - Addl Personnel Costs
2.03	1,067,918.40	Jail - Operating Costs
2.1	0.00	Reciprocity
2.2	3,153,052.52	Temp Jail II Rent
3.01	552,747.28	Environmental S&B
3.02	231,335.00	Environnmental Operating Costs
3.03	753,500.00	Environmental Community Awareness
4.1	288,084.83	Mental Health - S&B
4.2	1,540,830.00	Mental Health - Other
5.1		
	1,130,589.72	PA - S&B
5.2	71,360.15	PA - Operating
6.11	78,281.41	Treatment Court - Courts - S&B
6.12	69,400.00	Treatment Court - Courts - Operating
6.21	103,676.82	Treatment Court - PA - S&B
6.22	3,308.65	Treatment Court - PA - Operating
6.31	110,404.48	Treatment Court - Pretrial - S&B
6.32	5,945.00	Treatment Court - Pretrial - Operating
6.33	240,500.00	Treatment Court - Contract Services
9.1	1,450,000.00	Animal Shelter
	Contraction of the Contraction o	
9.2	213,675.00	Animal Control S&B + Maintenance
11.101	107,186.86	FJC - PA - S&B
11.102	275,334.13	FJC - PA - Operating
11.103	16,000.00	FJC - Bldg Ops Maint/Janitorial
11.111	73,080.55	FJC - Shf - S&B
11.112	7,135.96	FJC - Shf - Operating
11.21	527,384.61	FAU - Shf - S&B
11.22	33,330.12	FAU - Shf - Operating
12	200,000.00	Municipal Projects
13.001	10,386.68	GR Stability - Other
13.1	83,562.81	GR Stability - Budget
13.2	86,399.69	GR Stability - HR
13.3	66,415.55	GR Stability - Purchasing
13.4	221,189.24	GR Stability - IS
13.5	10,100.00	GR Stability - PIO
15.01	44,167.85	B Ops - S&B
15.02	87,559.48	B Ops for Jail - S&B
15.03	2,900.00	B Ops/Gen Svcs - Operating (Vehicles)
15.04	6,000.00	B Ops - Maint/Janitorial - Operations Ctr
15.1	41,107.00	Bldg Maint - HCH
15.2	150,407.00	Bldg Maint - Jail
15.3	2,000.00	Bldg Maint - Archives
15.4		Bldg Maint - Juvenile
	25,400.00	
15.5	74,387.00	Bldg Maint - Judicial
15.6	27,807.00	Bldg Maint - PSC
15.7	400.00	Bldg Maint - Morgue
16.1	57,500.00	Eqpt Replacement - County Clerk
16.2	18,324.00	Eqpt Replacement - Med Examiner
16.3	457,587.29	Eqpt Replacement - IS
16.4	158,000.00	Eqpt Replacement - OEM
16.5	1,135,034.37	Eqpt Replacement - Shf
16.9	15,000.00	Eqpt Replacement - Contract Vehicle Operation
18	36,500.00	Utilities Utilities
10	30,300.00	Othities
	22,846,377.85	
	,0 .0,000	
1	112,202	GR II Project Manager
1	191,128	Campus Tech Upgrade
	101,120	

1. Expansion and/or renovation of the Greene County Justice Center to add 806 beds, bringing capacity to not exceed a total of 1,407 beds. The design of the facility will be to allow future additions, if needed.

Construction on the new Sheriff's Office/Jail is expected to continue through 2021, with possible completion in the second quarter of 2022. In order to fund this, a second bond will be issued by the County in early 2021. The amount of the bond will be based on the \$150 million jail construction budget approved by the County Commission in June of 2019.

Salaries, benefits, and operating expenses for the four-member Jail Advance Team are budgeted in 2021 at a cash cost of \$356,679. Budgeted costs for the GR II Construction Project Manager are \$112,202. A special campus technology upgrade project was segregated from the jail construction project in 2020. This upgrade is for the updating of hardware and programming at several locations on the main County campus in order to maintain communication with the technology at the future site of the Sheriff's Office/Jail. The budgeted cash cost of this project in 2021 is \$191,128.

2. Up to 334 new correction officers and staff and operations for the Justice Center.

Budgeted staffing in GR II for the jail increased by a total of 101, to 172 employees. This total is comprised of: twelve Detention Officers for the Warrants unit as restructured in 2018; eleven Detention Officers and one Corporal for court inmate transfer security added in 2019; 48 Detention Officers, 4 Corporals, and 2 Licensed Practical Nurses added to the budget in 2020 to staff the expanded temporary jail; 85 Detention Officers, two Corporals, two Sergeants, and one Clerk II for early jail hiring in 2021; and, the four members of the Jail Advance Team who will return to regular duties after completion of the jail. There will be additional employees brought on in 2022 as well, per the Advance Team's staffing plan. Jail staff and operating costs in the 2021 budget total \$8,435,421.

Unlike the modification of Exhibit B to the Resolution which currently shows a planned cost of \$1.2 million for reciprocity, there is no reciprocity budgeted for 2021. However, GR II assumed the responsibility of rent costs for the temporary jail in 2020, after the last approved revision to Resolution spending. The expanded 390-bed facility is budgeted to have rent costs of \$3,153,053 in 2021.

3. Fund initiatives for environmental and storm water management.

Spending for environmental initiatives is expected to keep pace with Resolution allotments in 2021, with a total budget of \$1,537,582. This includes \$552,747 for staff salaries and benefits, \$231,355 for operating costs, and \$753,500 for community awareness initiatives, including storm water buyouts, various local partnerships, and ongoing funding for Riverbluff Cave.

4. Community mental health programs to assist with the justice system.

Mental health spending for 2021 has been budgeted for a total of \$1,828,915. This will fund four County positions (a Licensed Clinical Social Worker and two Licensed Professional Counselors for the jail, and one Pretrial Services Officer), court-ordered wraparound spending, costs community health workers and advocates with the Health Department, and another \$1 million in funding for the mental health drop-in center established in conjunction with Burrell Behavioral Health in 2020.

5. Staff and operations for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office.

The Resolution called for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office to increase staff by another APA in 2021. This position was funded as a Senior APA in the 2021 budget. Total costs in salaries, benefits, and operating costs for the Prosecuting Attorney's Office are budgeted at \$1,201,950.

There is another Senior APA position in the Prosecuting Attorney's Office funded through GR II; however, that assignment works exclusively with the Drug Court, so its costs are captured in item 6 of Exhibit A.

6. Establish best practice treatment programs such as Drug Treatment Court, DWI Court, Veterans' Court, etc.

Best practice treatment programs fall under three departments in the GR II budget: the 31st Judicial Circuit Court, the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, and Pretrial under the direction of the County Commission.

Court expenditures for treatment programs were budgeted for 2021 at a total of \$147,681, and this includes the cost of both a Licensed Clinical Social Worker and wraparound services. The Senior APA in the Prosecuting Attorney's Office was budgeted for \$106,985 in salaries, benefits, and various operating costs. Pretrial costs include

Exhibit A of the GR II Resolution (October 2, 2017) - 2021 Budget Appropriations

the staffing of two RA/RANT Services Officers, various operating costs, and the funding of SCRAM and GPS monitors for those awaiting trial who have met the standards required for pre-trial release. The 2021 GR II budget for Pretrial is \$356,850, which includes \$240,500 for the aforementioned contract monitoring services.

In total, \$611,516 was budgeted for best practice treatment programs in 2021.

7. Community trunked radio system for emergency communications.

The Resolution calls for \$375,000 in spending in 2021 for the trunked radio system; however, this expense was incurred in 2020, so nothing has been budgeted in this category for 2021.

8. Juvenile courts building and detention facility.

Analysis and design, based on needs, are anticipated to commence in 2022. Consequently, there has been nothing budgeted in this category for 2021.

9. Community animal control facility.

In the current version of Exhibit B to the Resolution, funding for construction of an animal shelter was to have occurred in 2020, with maintenance costs to commence in 2021. That construction has been delayed and the funds have not been issued, so the \$1.45 million in construction funding has been budgeted again for 2021.

10. Community animal control officer and equipment.

There is \$213,675 budgeted for animal control staff and ongoing equipment maintenance.

11. Community partnership law enforcement specialty units.

There are currently two active partnership law enforcement units – the Family Justice Center (FJC) and the Fugitive Apprehension Unit (FAU).

The budget for the FJC in 2021 has been set at \$478,738, which includes costs for administrative staffing through the Prosecuting Attorney, a Domestic Violence Investigator through the Sheriff's Office, and operating costs for the Tefft building. Savings between actual FJC expenditures and Resolution allotments will be reserved over time to cover the expenses incurred for the Tefft renovation, until that renovation cost has been fully absorbed.

The FAU budget for 2021 is \$560,715, and includes staffing costs for 6 Investigators and a Sergeant through the Jail, and departmental operating costs. Per the agreement which established the FAU, the cost of the FAU is to be covered by the transfer of Federal boarding revenue from GR I, to the extent of the Resolution allotment for a single partnership law enforcement unit, with any excess expense beyond this amount to be covered by a transfer from a discretionary fund controlled by the Sheriff. The maximum amount of reimbursement for the FAU from GR I's Federal boarding revenue in 2021 is budgeted, per the Resolution, at \$530,604.

12. Outlying municipal projects.

The annual budgeted amount for municipal projects is \$200,000, which consists of 5 awards of \$14,285 and 2 awards of \$64,285. The municipalities of Republic and Walnut Grove will receive the larger awards in 2021.

13. Support staff (Budget Office, Purchasing, Human Resources, Information Systems, and Public Information).

The 2021 budgeted amount for GR stability, support staff, and related operating costs total \$478,504, and has been appropriated as follows:

- Budget Office: \$83,563.
- Purchasing: \$66,415.
- Human Resources: \$86,400.
- Information Systems: \$221,189.
- Public Information: \$10,100.
- Other GR Support Costs (unemployment insurance, liability): \$10,387

14. Courts renovation.

There are no transfers of bond funds to Real Property Improvement for Judicial Court Facility projects budgeted for 2021. It is likely that once the Sheriff's Office has relocated to its new facility in 2022, renovations in the Judicial Courts Facility will begin.

15. Building maintenance and staffing.

The 2021 budget has been appropriated to cover one Administrative Assistant (for the full year) and four Maintenance Mechanics (to be hired at mid-year exclusively for building maintenance at the new jail facility) at a cost in salaries and benefits, and operations of \$131,727. Ongoing building maintenance operating costs for the new operations center and vehicles have been budgeted at \$8,900.

Building maintenance special projects on the County campus have been budgeted at a total cost of \$321,508, and have been appropriated to County facilities as follows:

- Historic Courthouse: \$41,107.
- Jail: \$150,407.
- Archives Building: \$2,000.
- Juvenile Building: \$25,400.
- Judicial Courts Facility: \$74,387.
- Public Safety Center: \$27,807.
- Morgue: \$400.

16. Equipment replacement.

Equipment replacements have been budgeted for 2021 at a total cost of \$1,841,446, which has been appropriated as follows:

- County Clerk: \$57,500.00
- Medical Examiner: \$18,324.
- Information Systems: \$457,587.
- Office of Emergency Management: \$158,000.
- Contract Vehicle Operation: \$15,000.
- Sheriff: \$1,135,034.
 - Sheriff vehicle funding was appropriated from current and previous years' unspent equipment allocations, jail staff savings in GR II, and expected revenues from the salvaging of decommissioned vehicles.

17. Demolition and parking lot construction.

There were no appropriations budgeted in 2021 for demolition or parking lot construction.

18. Utilities.

Utilities have been budgeted at \$36,500 for 2021. This excludes utility costs at the Tefft building – these are included in the overall budget for the Family Justice Center.

19. Restricted reserve to ensure positive bond rating.

Per the Resolution, the expected increase to cash reserves in 2021 will be \$249,213 for the operating cash reserve, and \$326,000 for the 27^{th} pay period reserve.